- 1 Historic residential segregation impacts biodiversity data availability
- 2 disparately across the tree of life
- 3
- 4 Dexter Henry Locke^{1*}, Melissa Chapman², Diego Ellis-Soto³

1 USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Baltimore Field Station, Suite 350, 5523
 Research Park Drive, Baltimore, MD21228, USA ORCID: 0000-0003-2704-9720

2 National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, University of California Santa Barbara,
 Santa Barbara, CA, USA ORCID: 0000-0002-1377-1539

3 Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, USA ORCID:
 0000-0003-4766-021X

11

12 *Dexter Henry Locke

13 Email: <u>dexter.locke@usda.gov;</u> dexter.locke@gmail.com

14

15 Author Contributions: DHL, MC and DE-S designed the study and performed the research.

DHL performed the analysis with contributions from MC and DE-S. DE-S created figure 1. DHL
 led the writing, MC and DE-S contributed substantially to the writing with multiple rounds of edits.

18

28

19 Competing Interest Statement: None.

Classification: Paste the major and minor classification here. Dual classifications are permitted,
 but cannot be within the same major classification.

Keywords: residential segregation, biodiversity sampling, environmental justice, Global
 Biodiversity Information Facility, Home Owners' Loan Corporation

- 26 This PDF file includes:
- 27 Main Text
 - Figures 2

29 Abstract

30 Urbanization alters species ranges and nature's contributions to people, motivating urban

31 conservation. Residential segregation policies have left an indelible impact on urban

environments, greenspaces, and wildlife communities, creating socioeconomic heterogeneity and
 altering biota. However, the extent to which data sufficiently capture urban biodiversity patterns

remains unclear, especially when considering historic segregation. We explore how biodiversity

35 metrics (sampling density, estimated completeness of sampling, and expected species richness)

36 vary by Home Owner's Loan Corporation (HOLC) grade across taxonomic groups, leveraging

37 nearly 60 million amphibia, aves, fungi, insecta, mammalia, plantae, and reptilia observations

collected between 2000 and 2020, for 145 Metropolitan Statistical Areas in the United States.
 After accounting for environmental conditions, we estimate significant differences in sampling

40 density across HOLC grade for all taxonomic groups, with the lowest values found in areas

41 previously redlined. Estimated completeness of biodiversity inventory was low (average ~42%

42 across all taxa) and varied significantly by HOLC grade for birds, mammals, and plants. Expected

- richness only varied by HOLC grade for birds. Our findings highlight how differences in
 biodiversity sampling may not translate to differences in expected species richness patterns, and
- 45 suggest that applying insights obtained from certain taxonomic groups and extrapolating to
- 46 multiple others may not be appropriate. Urban wildlife communities are not well-documented
- 47 despite the explosion of digital information, and what is documented is known to be biased along
- 48 a housing segregation typology for some taxon. These findings add evidence to suggest long-
- 49 lasting effects of legacies of segregation on the natural world.
- 50 51

52 Significance Statement

53 Historic race-based zoning policies like redlining in the United States are associated with present 54 day health, income, and environmental inequities. We quantify how redlining across 195 cities in 55 the United States is also related to key biodiversity metrics across a wide range of vertebrate and 56 invertebrate taxa, plants and fungi. We show that while more biodiversity records are consistently 57 collected in non-redlined neighborhoods, this did not translate to differences in estimated species 58 richness across redlining grades. This work underpins how legacies of segregation and 59 socioeconomic inequality may influence the distribution and availability of data on urban 60 biodiversity, and how such biased biodiversity data in turn may influence our inference on species 61 communities, their food webs, and ultimately, conservation decisions.

- 62
- 63

64 Main Text

65 Introduction

66 Global urbanization projections suggests a 55 to 111% increase in area, translating to a loss of 67 11-33 million hectares of habitat from 2015 to the year 2100 (1). A key features of the 68 Anthropocene is the increasing rise of urban life and urban expansion, with approximately half of 69 humans residing in cities, which is projected to grow to 2/3 by 2050 (2). The last decade has seen 70 an increased appreciation on the importance of urban biodiversity for promoting physical and 71 psychological well-being of city residents (3). Cities are the places where human experiences with 72 biodiversity increasingly occurs for most humans (4), and where a growing proportion of wildlife 73 face urban pressures. Urbanization therefore poses both opportunities and challenges for 74 biodiversity conservation (5), particularly given disparate responses of species and taxa to 75 urbanization (6). As Lambert and Schell describe, "it is not hyperbolic to suggest that cities are 76 situated as the literal and figurative frontlines of biodiversity conservation" (7).

77 Urban areas represent complex systems strongly shaped by social and economic factors 78 that are often characterized by social inequity. Socioeconomic disparities are in turn associated 79 with the spatial distribution of urban tree canopy cover, with higher income areas having more 80 tree canopy, and minoritized communities having less (8-11). Tree canopy and urban green 81 spaces provide crucial habitat for biodiversity, form the basis of more complex ecological 82 communities, and shape urban food webs (11). Therefore the socioeconomic partitioning of urban 83 spaces is expected to shape multiple facets of urban biodiversity (12-14), and even evolutionary 84 processes and outcomes (12, 15–17).

Simultaneously, urban areas are increasingly places of extensive biodiversity data 85 86 collection, primarily through participatory-science and education initiatives leveraging mobile 87 phone data collection apps (18). For some species, particularly in urban environments, volunteer-88 collected data far exceed records museum collections (19). There are biodiversity data disparities 89 within and across countries: higher income countries have more information (20, 21), and higher 90 income areas within high income countries have the most (22). These data biases skew the our 91 view of the natural world and mean that minoritized communities are often also data-poor (22-92 24), which may represent another form of environmental injustice.

93 Institutionalized racism is a major driver of social inequity, especially in cities (25). A 94 spatial manifestation of institutionalized racism is housing segregation. One particularly well-95 known mapping of this housing segregation in cities across the United States was the Home 96 Owners' Loan Corporation (HOLC) in the mid to late 1930s, commonly known as Redlining. The 97 Home Owners' Loan Corporation, with input from local real estate actors, categorized 98 neighborhoods based on a combination of housing stock (type, quality, age), favorable adjacent 99 land uses such as parks and open space, proximity to transit, and the demographic and racial 100 characteristics of the inhabitants. A-Graded areas were composed of U.S.-born White families 101 living in new, single-family detached homes, were labeled "Best" and colored green on the maps. 102 B-Graded or blue areas, labeled "Still Desirable" had older and/or denser housing stock. C-Graded or vellow areas, labeled "Definitely Declining" had more minoritized populations such as 103 communities of color and/or immigrants. Finally, D-Graded or red areas, hence the name 104 105 "redlining", were labeled "Hazardous" and were composed of communities of color. It is important 106 to note that the practices associated with redlining predate the maps. These practices are 107 associated with covenants, codes, and restrictions (26); segregated newspaper advertisements

for housing, among many others. These practices began in the early 1900s and continue today(27–30).

110 The urban ecology literature on redlining documents substantial disparities across neighborhood grades. Formerly A-Graded neighborhoods have more vegetation (31), more tree 111 112 canopy (32–34), are cooler (35), and exhibit less noise pollution (36) than their formerly D-Graded 113 counterparts. This means neighborhoods formerly comprised of US-born Whites in single family 114 detached homes are more hospitable today - for both people and other species - than areas 115 classified as "Hazardous", marked red on maps by HOLC, and denied home loans, because they 116 were occupied by poorer communities of color and immigrants living in denser, older housing. In 117 Baltimore, MD, street trees are larger and more species diverse in A-Graded areas than their 118 formerly D-Graded counterparts (37), so they produces more ecosystems services and are more 119 resilient to urban forest pathogens. Redlined neighborhoods in California have higher pollution 120 burdens, less vegetation, hotter temperatures, and more noise pollution than A-Graded areas 121 (38).

122 In addition to vegetation and street tree diversity, bird biodiversity data and species 123 composition knowledge is significantly greater in A than D areas, with differences persisting even 124 after controlling for population density, vegetation greenness, and protected open space (24). 125 Moreover, field-based biodiversity assessments further showed that bird communities in Los 126 Angeles vary across HOLC grades (39). For example forest birds and migratory birds were ~24% 127 and ~17% more abundant, respectively, in formerly A- and B-Graded areas than C and D areas, while non-migratory, introduced, and synanthropic dominated C-, and D-Graded areas (39). With 128 129 discrepancies between volunteered bird data A- and D-Graded areas growing over time (24), 130 there is pressing need to understand how housing segregation and urban biodiversity data relate 131 to additional taxa. Early multi-taxon work using the citizen science platform iNaturalist in four 132 Californian cities, shows that redlined areas have a lower number of insects, birds, and mammals 133 species, and that species composition vary by HOLC grade (40).

134 This paper contributes to the ongoing efforts address guestions and test hypotheses 135 about housing segregation, specifically how race-based housing policies multiple facets of urban 136 biodiversity (12). Synthesizing across platforms, the Global Biodiversity Information Facility 137 (GBIF: https://www.gbif.org/) includes data from iNaturalist, eBird, other popular taxon-specific apps, as well as from participant node organizations composed of scientific research entities like 138 139 universities and museums. Building off prior research, we leverage 58,920,460 species 140 observations from GBIF (41) across metropolitan areas in the United States to assess how the 141 amount of biodiversity information (sampling density), knowledge of species pools 142 (completeness), and expected species richness varies by HOLC grades, Urban Areas (UAs) and 143 Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSAs). Sampling density answers the guestion about whether or 144 not there are biodiversity data disparities today related to historic residential segregation. 145 Completeness and expected species richness result from species accumulation curve 146 extrapolations. These measures provide related estimations of unobserved biodiversity, and 147 therefore address the question of how present-day biodiversity data and biodiversity patterns 148 relate to historic housing segregation. The aims are therefore twofold: A) understanding data 149 disparities and bias, and B) spatial variation in urban biodiversity. The HOLC classification system categorized residential neighborhoods in the mid-1930s, meaning un-graded areas were not yet 150 urbanized or were urbanized but non-residential land uses. Focusing only on graded areas 151 152 excludes most of present-day American cities. By adding the non-graded UAs and MSAs we provide two reference sets to contextualize HOLC neighborhoods in their larger urban contexts. 153 154 This research thus broadens the taxa under investigation (amphibia, aves, fungi, insecta, 155 mammalia, plantae, and reptilia) and uses a larger and more comprehensive set of species 156 observations across multiple cities than previous related efforts (40), while adding UA and MSA 157 comparisons.

158

159 Results

160 Biodiversity information across HOLC grades, Urban Areas (UA) and Metropolitan

161 Statistical Áreas (MSA)

Formerly A-Graded areas had significantly greater sampling density than D-Graded areas for all taxa except fungi (0.001 > p > 0.0001, Figure S1). A-Graded areas had greater sampling density than either UA (p < 0.0001) or MSAs (p < 0.0001) for all nine taxonomic groups.

165 Completeness estimates from species accumulation curves represent how many species 166 are thought to be present, if exhaustive sampling occurred. Completeness estimates were low 167 and did not vary by HOLC grade for amphibians, fungi (species or family), insects (species or 168 family), mammals, or reptiles (p > 0.05). For birds, A had greater completeness than B (p < 0.05), 169 C, and D (p < 0.001) neighborhoods). Conversely, completeness was greater in D than A-170 neighborhoods for insects at the species level (p < 0.01) and among plants (p < 0.001). 171 Completeness was greater in UAs and MSAs excluding previously HOLC-defined neighborhoods 172 than A-Graded areas for all taxonomic groups (p < 0.0001). Expected richness did not vary by 173 HOLC grade for taxonomic groups except for birds (p < 0.001) and plants (p < 0.05). Expected 174 richness was always greatest among MSAs (p < 0.0001) and UAs (0.001 > p > 0.0001) than for 175 HOLC-Graded areas.

176

Predictions of biodiversity information, biodiversity knowledge, and species richness across HOLC grades and urban areas

Model predictions show significant differences (0.01 between formerly A-Graded neighborhoods and formerly D-Graded areas for all nine taxonomic groups (Figure 2, top). The amount of model-predicted biodiversity data varied widely by taxonomic group. For example, amphibian and reptile sampling density, though significantly different across A and D areas, were orders of magnitude lower than bird sampling density regardless of HOLC grade.

185 Overall average model-predicted estimated completeness in formerly HOLC-defined 186 neighborhoods was 41.7%, and lower for insects (mean estimated completeness = 24.3%), fungi 187 (31.1%), and plants (25.4%) —the most species rich taxonomic groups examined here (Figure 2, 188 middle) across all HOLC grades. Model predictions showed significant differences in estimated 189 completeness by HOLC grades A to D for birds (p < 0.0001), mammals (p < 0.05), and plants (p190 < 0.001), while the other six taxonomic groups were HOLC-invariant (p > 0.05). Birds where the 191 only taxonomic group with significant differences in expected species richness across HOLC 192 grades (Figure 2, bottom, p< 0.01). 193

194 Discussion and Conclusions

195 In this study we quantified how the race-based, housing segregation policy called 196 redlining relates to the amount of biodiversity information and the number of expected species 197 across multiple taxonomic groups encompassing nearly every facet of the tree of life. The goals 198 to were to both understand data collection biases and differences in urban biodiversity across 199 varied neighborhoods. Despite prior research on redlining and biodiversity in small geographic 200 regions (40) or taxonomic focus (24, 39), it remained unclear if observed data disparities reflected 201 a general patterns across multiple taxa and cities experiencing a broader range of climates and 202 socioeconomic conditions.

203 Sampling density was greater in formerly A-Graded neighborhoods than formerly D-204 Graded neighborhoods for all taxonomic groups examined. Moreover, sampling density is greater 205 in HOLC neighborhoods than their encompassing urban areas and metropolitan regions, while 206 the reverse was true for estimated completeness and expected richness. Few prior investigations 207 have included non-graded comparisons (39), despite calls to do so (30). These patterns are 208 unsurprising given differences in population density across these places, which reduce sampling 209 density among the larger and less population dense spatial units, reflecting the amount of data in 210 areas with higher populations. It remains unclear why people choose to record biodiversity data in 211 formerly A-Graded areas compared to formerly D-Graded areas. One explanation is that there is 212 more green space and tree canopy in A than D-areas (31-34), making these more attractive 213 places to travel to and sample. Alternatively, those observing urban biodiversity already 214 predominantly reside disproportionately in formerly A-Graded areas. The combination of GBIF and HOLC polygons alone does not let us arbitrate between these rival and complementary 215 216 explanations.

217 While sampling density differed across HOLC grades for all taxonomic groups, 218 differences in regression-adjusted estimated completeness of biodiversity inventory were only found in birds, mammals, and plants. Differences in expected species richness across HOLC 219 220 grades was unique to birds. The birdwatching community may promote collecting and sharing 221 data more than for other taxa, and mammal identification is relatively easier. Plants are immobile. 222 very species rich and hard to identify, while there are few urban mammal species. Insect and 223 fugus identification is more challenging, and reptiles and amphibians are relatively more rare, 224 especially in urban areas. These attributes may explain taxon-specific findings. Future studies 225 may consider quantifying species abundances or densities with co-located measurements across 226 taxanomic groups. This may allow for answering questions about whether communities and 227 wildlife food webs vary by race-based policies, as proposed by Schell and colleagues in 2020 228 (12).

229

230 More sampling density in A-grade in all taxa

231 Our findings that all taxonomic groups had higher sampling density in HOLC-A grade than in D-232 Graded areas, corroborate the relationships found among birds in prior empirical research (24) 233 and supporting expectations (12). This evidence further suggests how formerly redlined areas 234 have not only fewer environmental amenities today (31-33), greater pollution loads (38), but also 235 less information across nearly every facet of biodiversity. These differences persisted even after 236 accounting for human population density, vegetation productivity, protected and accessible open space, and water cover. Similar findings were observed in four Californian cities across 6 clades, 237 238 using only iNaturalist data, effectively a subset of GBIF (40). The data disparities found in the 239 larger and more comprehensive GBIF data used here, and across a wider range of taxonomic 240 groups, are reflected within a subset of participatory science platforms, when examining a smaller 241 subset of species in a specific geographic location.

242

243 Taxonomic groups differ in data availability and survey completeness

244 Completeness estimates of biodiversity data varied across taxa. Fungi, insects, and plants had 245 the lowest estimated completeness, yet are the most species-rich taxonomic groups on earth. Of 246 the observations analyzed here, 87.6% were birds, 7.37% plants, 3.16% insects, the remaining ~2% fungus, mammals, reptiles, and amphibians combined. To date, most urban ecology 247 248 research has focused on birds and vascular plants (42), with invertebrates being among the least 249 studies group (43). In addition, groups such as amphibians and reptiles remain even less-studied, 250 despite being the vertebrate groups facing the highest rates of extinctions in the Anthropocene 251 (44, 45). The taxonomic bias in urban ecology research remains a crucial knowledge gap, as 252 identified by studies calling to include more taxonomic groups (46). Using estimated 253 completeness, we show how the collective information on urban biodiversity differs across 254 taxonomic groups. Specifically, we show higher survey completeness for birds, mammals, 255 amphibians, and reptiles than plants, fungi and insects. Low levels of completeness in plants, 256 fungi and insect likely do not accurately reflect species richness patterns, as these groups are 257 species rich when compared to vertebrates and sampling density was relatively low - it is 258 therefore challenging to disentangle these relationships. More comparative studies across 259 multiple taxa, geographic areas, and over time in urban ecology might be considered a research priority (42). 260

261

262 We did not observe significant differences in expected species richness by HOLC grade in any 263 taxa except for birds (Figure 2). For example, our models predicted similar expected species 264 richness across HOLC grades for birds than for insects and plants, despite there being orders of 265 magnitude more described insect and plant species across the United States than bird species. 266 For example, there are ~1,150 bird species in the USA, but ~91,000 insect species and 16,670 267 vascular plant species (47-49). Our findings therefore may be reasonably indicative of the low 268 sampling completeness among HOLC grades and the difficulty accurately identifying some 269 species without molecular biology in plants, fungi and insects when compared to birds, mammals, 270 reptiles and amphibians. Low sampling density, especially for species-rich groups, translates into 271 low survey completeness and unrealistically low expected richness, severely limiting ecological inferences about actual community assemblages when using these types of data. Again, more 272

extensive and targeted, local field, possibly with taxonomic experts, sampling may prove pivotal
 to better understand current urban biodiversity patterns.

275

276 Implications

277 Taken together, our results suggest against extrapolating results of data availability and 278 biodiversity patterns from one taxonomic group to another, particularly when making inferences 279 on invertebrates, plants or fungi based on vertebrate biodiversity patterns. Similarly, our results 280 highlight how findings on sampling density, completeness and richness of birds are not 281 representative of other taxonomic groups in urban environments when using primarily 282 synthesized participatory science data. Biodiversity data from birds in particular may be distinct 283 from other taxa in several ways: a) birds have significantly more observations than other taxa, b) 284 the spatial distribution of their biodiversity records and expected species richness is matched by 285 the rank-order of the HOLC's neighborhood ranking system, and c) birds are a highly mobile 286 taxon. The rise of participatory science campaigns such as eBird and iNaturalist have led to a 287 rapid and steady increase in the collection of such bird biodiversity data across the world, but 288 participation and uptake is primarily by well-educated, white and affluent adults (50, 51). Future 289 work could analyze the demographic profiles relatively small Census geographies like tracts or 290 block groups in association with GBIF data to identify how present-day socioeconomic conditions 291 relate to sampling density and urban biodiversity (22, 23). Concurrently, more research examining the socioeconomic and demographic composition at the individual observer level on who samples 292 293 may reveal patterns and trends by taxonomic and social groups.

294 While the increasing use of crowdsourced, geolocated bird data in scientific studies and 295 conservation decisions has led to policy change in urban environments (52), observed trends of 296 bird biodiversity may not necessarily reflect other taxonomic groups of vertebrates, invertebrates 297 and plants. In an era of ambitious global conservation, careful consideration for how data 298 availability across space impacts ecological inference differently across taxonomic groups, and 299 impacts downstream uses is warranted (21). Future work may provide more in-depth exploration 300 into specific facets of biodiversity utilizing other biodiversity data repositories, such as the BIEN 301 database for plant-specific analysis (53). Ultimately, more long term and locally collected field 302 data is likely needed to understand if and how species communities and food webs are impacted 303 by socioeconomic conditions within and across cities. Moreover, how those relationships 304 themselves vary with race-based housing segregation remains less clear.

305 We are just beginning to understand how past and present practices of segregation and 306 socioeconomic inequality have left (and are leaving) an indelible impact on the environment, 307 urban wildlife communities, food webs, and their evolution (7, 12). Understanding the implications 308 of these human dimensions could be critical for the equitable planning and execution of ambitious 309 conservation and sustainability initiatives from local to national levels. Ecologists increasingly 310 incorporate multiple aspects of human activities into biodiversity studies - from movement, to bi-311 products such as nightlights, roads and population density and land use change (54). Yet, 312 socioeconomic disparities in biodiversity data are an often overlooked, but critical dimension to 313 consider when leveraging these data for ecological insights or decision making (21). Redlining 314 was just one of many housing segregation practices, similar research could include Urban Renewal project locations (https://dsl.richmond.edu/panorama/renewal/#view=-7726.48/-315 3679.22/11.13&viz=map&city=baltimoreMD&loc=13/39.2972/-76.5880). 316

317 This work provides strong evidence of differences in where we collect information of 318 biodiversity across multiple taxonomic groups across large spatial extents, filling important 319 knowledge gaps in urban ecology and environmental justice research. Future researchers may 320 consider exploring how functional and phylogenetic diversity of these taxonomic groups differs 321 across urban environments, providing a more ecologically-rich context on how species 322 communities vary within and across urban areas. Future researchers may consider including 323 more measurements on where segregationist policies shaped the built and social environments, 324 which in turn effects the ecological contexts for other species.

327 Materials and Methods

328 Study Area

329 We obtained biodiversity information for 195 cities with existing digitized HOLC polygons at the 330 time of our analysis. In order to include non-graded areas as a reference, two Census-defined 331 units were used: urban areas (UA), and Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA). Urban areas are 332 the smaller spatial unit among the two, and created by aggregating Census blocks that have 333 5,000 people or 2,000 housing units. MSA's are aggregations of counties with at least 50,000 334 people. UA and MSA boundaries were accessed via the `get_acs` function in the tidycensus 335 package (55). Every MSA that contained digitized HOLC polygons that contained with GBIF data 336 (n = 8,207) were included. The result was 145 MSAs, 147 UAs contained within 38 states, within 337 195 HOLC-defined cities. When calculating the sampling density, completeness, and expected 338 richness, HOLC polygons were erased from their containing UAs and MSAs to avoid double-339 counting their biodiversity observations. 340

341 Biodiversity Data

342 Biodiversity observations came from the Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF, 343 https://www.gbif.org/), via the `gbif_remote` function in the gbifdb R package (56). GBIF 344 synthesizes disparate sources of biodiversity data from repositories ranging from participatory 345 science apps to museum collections. Observations were filtered to observations containing 346 georeferenced records collected between 2000 and 2020, that were not fossil specimens or 347 material. The total number of observations (n = 58,920,460) per taxon downloaded were 348 amphibia (n = 131,585), aves (n = 51,590,588), fungi (n = 577,360), insecta (n = 1,864,414), 349 mammalia (n = 224.351), plantae (n = 4.342.105), reptilia (n = 190.057). HOLC polygons were 350 obtained from the University of Richmond's Mapping Inequality Project (57) via 351 https://dsl.richmond.edu/panorama/redlining/static/fullDownload.geojson on December 8, 2022. 352 The three dependent variables analyzed were sampling density, completeness, and

expected richness. Sampling density was calculated as the number of observations records per
square kilometer. Completeness (%) and expected species richness were calculated using
species accumulation curves via the `KnowBPolygon` function in the KnowBR package (58).
Completeness represents the percentage of all species estimated to be present given the
observed GBIF observations within a spatial unit (HOLC polygon, Urban Area, or Metropolitan
Statistical Area). Expected richness was calculated as by extrapolating species accumulation
curves (58).

361 Covariates

362 In regression analyses, each of the dependent variables were modeled as a function of 363 population density, vegetation cover, protected open space, and water cover. Prior research on 364 birds and HOLC polygons has found significant relationship between human population density. 365 NDVI, and open space with sampling density and percent estimated completeness (24). 366 Additionally, it could be expected that places with more people could be more likely to have 367 participatory science-collected biodiversity data since more potential observers are present. Population counts for HOLC polygons were interpolated using an area-weighted method, where 368 369 the population was attributed by percent of polygon overlap (59) and year 2019 Census block 370 groups accessed via the `get acs` function in the tidycensus package (55). Normalized 371 Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) was computed using the mean of the average monthly 372 MODIS (250m) data from 2015-2019. NDVI captures photosynthetically-active plants, and was 373 included as a vegetation measure. The percent cover of protected open space was included 374 because observers are likely to use parks and open space to collect data. We used a version of 375 USGS' Parks and Protected Areas Database of the United States (PAD-US) that was augmented 376 to included accessible and recreational lands (PAD-US-AR), which is a more accurate and 377 comprehensive representation of open space (60). Spatial water data came from the U.S. Fish 378 and Wildlife Service's National Wetlands Inventory (https://www.fws.gov/program/national-379 wetlands-inventory/download-state-wetlands-data).

382 Statistical Analyses

383 Two sets of statistical analyses were performed: 1) an unadjusted examination of each 384 dependent variable for each taxonomic group by HOLC grade, UA, and MSA categories; and 2) 385 regression analyses excluding UA- and MSA-observations but including continuous covariates. In 386 both cases, sampling density and expected richness were log-transformed to approximate normal 387 distributions. In the first set of analyses, each outcome in the A-Graded polygons was analyzed 388 against the B-, C-, D-Graded, UA's and MSA's values in a series of 5 pair-wise Wilcoxon rank 389 sum tests. Not all possible pairwise tests were performed, rather the endmember was compared 390 against each other value; A serves as a reference and all other values referent. Figures S1-3 391 show the entire distributions.

392 Regression analysis incorporated all HOLC polygons, but omitted the UAs and MSAs. 393 This is because UA and MSA represent large geographic areas with high levels of internal 394 heterogeneity, making interpretations difficult. Within an MSA, the mean NDVI does not 395 adequately represent the internal distribution which may have values of zero and one. A mean of 0.5 would not faithfully characterize the region in social or ecological terms. Instead, each of the 396 397 three dependent variable was analyzed for each of the nine taxonomic groups with three different 398 regression model specifications. The first specification was the outcome as a function of the 399 HOLC grade alone. This linear model is a baseline, simple model. The second specification 400 added a random intercept for unobserved variability associated with each MSA. The third and 401 most complex model adds continuous covariates to the mixed model to control for population 402 density (people per km²), mean NDVI (a measure of vegetation greenness), protected accessible 403 open space (%, from PAD-US-AR), and water cover (%, from the National Wetlands Inventory). 404 The second and third specifications were fit with the Ime4 package (61) in R. Per dependent 405 variable and taxonomic group, the AIC minimization criteria was used to find the best fitting and 406 parsimonious model among the three specifications. Model predictions were then derived with the 407 gppredict` function and pairwise significance testing was applied using the `hypothesis test` 408 functions in the ggeffects package (62).

409

410 Data and code availability

Underlying raw data, the summarized analysis-ready data, and the R scripts for curating,
compiling and conducting the final analyses will be freely available on an openly-accessible
government data repository upon publication of this manuscript. This combination gives the
broadest range of end users the most flexibility.

- 415
- 416

417 Acknowledgments

The findings and conclusions in this paper are those of the author(s) and should not be construed to represent any official USDA or US Government determination or policy. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish or preparation of the manuscript. We thank Max Lambert and Morgan Grove for constructive comments on a previous version that helped strengthen the manuscript. D.E.S. acknowledges support from the Yale Institute for Biospheric Studies.

425 References

- Li G, et al. (2022) Global impacts of future urban expansion on terrestrial vertebrate diversity. *Nat Commun* 13(1):1–12.
- 428 2. Seto KC, Güneralp B, Hutyra LR (2012) Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*:1–6.
- 430 3. Bratman GN, et al. (2019) Nature and mental health: An ecosystem service perspective.
 431 Sci Adv 5(7):eaax0903.
- 432 4. Cazalis V, Loreau M, Barragan-Jason G (2023) A global synthesis of trends in human 433 experience of nature. *Front Ecol Environ* 21(2):85–93.
- 434 5. Simkin RD, Seto KC, McDonald RI, Jetz W (2022) Biodiversity impacts and conservation
 435 implications of urban land expansion projected to 2050. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*436 119(12):1–10.
- 437 6. Hahs AK, et al. (2023) Urbanisation generates multiple trait syndromes for terrestrial

438		animal taxa worldwide. Nat Commun 14(1). doi:10.1038/s41467-023-39746-1.
439	7.	Lambert M, Schell CJ (2023) Cities as the Solution to the Biodiversity Crisis. Urban
440		Biodiversity and Equity, eds Lambert M. Schell CJ (Oxford University Press, Oxford), pp
441		1–21.
442	8.	Gerrish E, Watkins SL (2018) The relationship between urban forests and income: A
443		meta-analysis. Landsc Urban Plan 170(April 2017):293–308.
444	9.	Watkins SL. Gerrish E (2018) The relationship between urban forests and race: A meta-
445	-	analysis, J Environ Manage 209(April 2017):152–168.
446	10.	Schwarz K, et al. (2015) Trees Grow on Money: Urban Tree Canopy Cover and
447		Environmental Justice. <i>PLoS One</i> 10(4):e0122051.
448	11.	Leong M. Dunn RR. Trautwein MD (2018) Biodiversity and socioeconomics in the city: a
449		review of the luxury effect. <i>Biol Lett</i> 14(5):20180082.
450	12.	Schell CJ, et al. (2020) The ecological and evolutionary consequences of systemic racism
451		in urban environments. Science (80-) 369(6509):1–19.
452	13.	Magle SB, et al. (2021) Wealth and urbanization shape medium and large terrestrial
453		mammal communities. Glob Chang Biol (July):1–14.
454	14.	Chamberlain D. et al. (2020) Wealth, water and wildlife: Landscape aridity intensifies the
455		urban luxury effect. Glob Ecol Biogeogr (December 2019):1–11.
456	15.	Des Roches S, et al. (2020) Socio-Eco-Evolutionary Dynamics in Cities. Evol Appl.
457		doi:10.1111/eva.13065.
458	16.	Alberti M, et al. (2020) The Complexity of Urban Eco-evolutionary Dynamics. Bioscience
459		70(9):772–793.
460	17.	Szulkin M, Munshi-South J, Charmantier A (2020) Urban Evolutionary Biology
461		doi:10.1093/oso/9780198836841.001.0001.
462	18.	Callaghan CT, Ozeroff I, Hitchcock C, Chandler M (2020) Capitalizing on opportunistic
463		citizen science data to monitor urban biodiversity: A multi-taxa framework. Biol Conserv
464		251(September):108753.
465	19.	Mesaglio T, Callaghan CT, Samonte F, Gorta SBZ, Cornwell WK (2023) Recognition and
466		completeness: two key metrics for judging the utility of citizen science data. Front Ecol
467		Environ 21(4):167–174.
468	20.	Hughes AC, et al. (2021) Sampling biases shape our view of the natural world. <i>Ecography</i>
469		(Cop) 44(9):1259–1269.
470	21.	Chapman M, et al. (2024) Biodiversity monitoring for a just planetary future. Science
471		383(6678):34–36.
472	22.	Grade AM, Chan NW, Gajbhiye P, Perkins DJ, Warren PS (2022) Evaluating the use of
473		semi-structured crowdsourced data to quantify inequitable access to urban biodiversity: A
474		case study with eBird. PLoS One 17(11):e0277223.
475	23.	Perkins D Blind Spots in Citizen Science Data: Implications of Volunteer Biases in eBird
476		Data. Available at: http://library1.nida.ac.th/termpaper6/sd/2554/19755.pdf.
477	24.	Ellis-Soto D, Chapman M, Locke DH (2023) Historical redlining is associated with
478		increasing geographical disparities in bird biodiversity sampling in the United States. Nat
479		Hum Behav 7(11):1869–1877.
480	25.	Pickett STA, Grove JM, Boone CG, Buckley GL (2023) Resilience of racialized
481		segregation is an ecological factor: Baltimore case study. <i>Build Cities</i> 4(1):783–800.
482	26.	Grove JM, et al. (2018) The Legacy Effect: Understanding How Segregation and
483		Environmental Injustice Unfold over Time in Baltimore. Ann Am Assoc Geogr 108(2):524–
484		537.
485	27.	Massey D, Denton N (1993) American Apartheid: Segregation and the Making of the
486	00	Underclass. (Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts).
487	28.	Aaronson D, Hartley D, Maxumder B (2017) The Effects of the 1930s HOLC 'Redlining'
488	00	
489	29.	Gee GCGC (2002) A multilevel analysis of the relationship between institutional and
490	00	individual racial discrimination and health status. Am J Public Health 92(4):615–623.
491	30.	Swope CB, Hernandez D, Cushing LJ (2022) The Relationship of Historical Redlining with
492		Present-Day Neighborhood Environmental and Health Outcomes: A Scoping Review and
493		

- 494 Nardone A, Rudolph KE, Morello-Frosch R, Casey JA (2021) Redlines and greenspace: 31. 495 The relationship between historical redlining and 2010 greenspace across the United 496 States. Environ Health Perspect 129(1):1-9. 497 32. Nowak DJ, Ellis A, Greenfield EJ (2022) The disparity in tree cover and ecosystem service 498 values among redlining classes in the United States. Landsc Urban Plan 499 221(January):104370. 500 33. Locke DH, et al. (2021) Residential housing segregation and urban tree canopy in 37 US 501 Cities. npj Urban Sustain 1(1). doi:10.1038/s42949-021-00022-0. 502 34. Namin S, Xu W, Zhou Y, Beyer K (2020) The legacy of the Home Owners' Loan Corporation and the political ecology of urban trees and air pollution in the United States. 503 504 Soc Sci Med 246(xxxx). doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2019.112758. 505 Hoffman JS, Shandas V, Pendleton N (2020) The effects of historical housing policies on 35. 506 resident exposure to intra-urban heat: A study of 108 US urban areas. Climate 8(1):12. 507 Nelson-Olivieri JR, et al. (2024) Inequalities in noise will affect urban wildlife. Nat Ecol 36. 508 Evol 8(1):163-174. 509 37. Burghardt KT, et al. (2023) Current street tree communities reflect race-based housing 510 policy and modern attempts to remedy environmental injustice. Ecology 104(2):1-11. 511 38. Estien CO, Wilkinson CE, Morello-frosch R, Schell CJ (2024) Historical Redlining Is 512 Associated with Disparities in Environmental Quality across California. 513 doi:10.1021/acs.estlett.3c00870. Wood EM, et al. (2023) Historical racial redlining and contemporary patterns of income 514 39. 515 inequality negatively affect birds, their habitat, and people in Los Angeles, California. 516 Ornithol Appl 126:1-29. Estien CO, Fidino M, Wilkinson CE, Morello-Frosch R, Schell CJ (2019) Historical 517 40. 518 redlining impacts wildlife biodiversity across California, 53(5):323-338. 519 41. GBIF.Org (2023) Occurrence Download. doi:10.15468/DL.A2XWXV. 520 Aronson MFJ, et al. (2014) A global analysis of the impacts of urbanization on bird and 42. 521 plant diversity reveals key anthropogenic drivers. Proceeding R Soc B 522 281(1780):20133330. 523 Knapp S, et al. (2021) A Research Agenda for Urban Biodiversity in the Global Extinction 43. Crisis. Bioscience 71(3):268-279. 524 Ceballos G, et al. (2015) Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the 525 44. 526 sixth mass extinction. Sci Adv 1(5):9-13. 527 45. Cox N, et al. (2022) A global reptile assessment highlights shared conservation needs of 528 tetrapods. Nature 605(7909):285-290. 529 46. Rega-Brodsky CC, et al. (2022) Urban biodiversity: State of the science and future 530 directions. Urban Ecosyst (February). doi:10.1007/s11252-022-01207-w. The Smithsonian Institution (1996) Numbers of Insects (Species and Individuals). BugInfo. 531 47. 532 Available at: https://www.si.edu/spotlight/buginfo/bugnos [Accessed March 4, 2024]. 533 48. eBird (2024) Sightings. United States, Illus Checkl. 534 NatureServe (2023) Biodiversity in Focus: United States Edition. 28. 49. 535 Rutter JD, et al. (2021) Racial, ethnic, and social patterns in the recreation specialization 50. of birdwatchers: An analysis of United States eBird registrants. J Outdoor Recreat Tour 536 35(February):100400. 537 538 Blake C, Rhanor A, Pajic C (2020) The demographics of citizen science participation and 51. 539 its implications for data quality and environmental justice. Citiz Sci Theory Pract 5(1):1-10. 540 52. Farnsworth A, Horton KG, Marra PP (2024) To mitigate bird collisions, enforce the 541 Migratory Bird Treaty Act. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 121(9):1-4. 542 Maitner BS, et al. (2018) The bien r package: A tool to access the Botanical Information 53. 543 and Ecology Network (BIEN) database. Methods Ecol Evol 9(2):373-379. 544 54. Ellis-Soto D, et al. (2023) A vision for incorporating human mobility in the study of human-545 wildlife interactions. Nat Ecol Evol:13-17. 546 Walker K, Herman M (2021) tidycensus: Load US Census Boundary and Attribute Data as 55. 547 "tidyverse" and 'sf'-Ready Data Frames. Available at: https://cran.r-548 project.org/package=tidycensus. Boettiger C (2023) gbifdb: High Performance Interface to 'GBIF' . Available at: 549 56.
 - 10

- 550 https://cran.r-project.org/package=gbifdb.
- 55157.Nelson RK, et al. (2018) Mapping Inequality. American Panorama, eds Nelson RK, Ayers552EL Available at: https://dsl.richmond.edu/panorama/redlining/#loc=11/40.1012/-55375.1297&opacity=0.14&sort=138&city=philadelphia-pa&text=about [Accessed May 30,5542019].
- 555
 58. Lobo JM, et al. (2018) KnowBR: An application to map the geographical variation of survey effort and identify well-surveyed areas from biodiversity databases. *Ecol Indic* 91(April):241–248.
- 558 59. Goodchild MF, Anselin L, Deichmann U (1993) A Framework for the Areal Interpolation of Socioeconomic Data. *Environ Plan A* 25(3):383–397.
- 56060.Browning MHEM, et al. (2022) The PAD-US-AR dataset: Measuring accessible and
recreational parks in the contiguous United States. Sci Data 9(1):1–15.
- 562 61. Bates DM (2010) Ime4: Mixed-effects modeling with R. 1–145.
- 563 62. Lüdecke D (2018) ggeffects: Tidy Data Frames of Marginal Effects from Regression 564 Models. J Open Source Softw 3(26):772.
- 565 566

567 Figures and Tables



- 568
- 569 **Figure 1.** Spatial extent of 195 cities assessed across the United States. I) Metropolitan
- 570 Statistical Areas (MSAs; n = 145) included in the study. II) Within MSAs, Urban Areas are
- smaller, as defined by the US Census Bureau. Home Owners Loan Corporation are within UAs,
- 572 which are in tern within MSAs, though there are a few instances where small parts of UA's extend 573 beyond an MSA boundary.
- 574





Figure 2. Model-adjusted predicted sampling density varies significantly across HOLC grade for all 9 taxanomic groups (I). Overall estimated completeness is low, and only varies for aves, mammalia, and plantae (II). The observed differences in sampling density and estimated completeness to not translate to differences by HOLC Grade for expected richness except for birds (III). Note the different vertical axes lengths.

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

A Multi-taxa Analysis of Residential Segregation across the Urban United States

1 USDA Forest Service, Northern Research Station, Baltimore Field Station, Suite 350, 5523

Research Park Drive, Baltimore, MD21228, USA ORCID: 0000-0003-2704-9720

- 2 National Center for Ecological Analysis and Synthesis, University of California Santa Barbara,
 Santa Barbara, CA, USA ORCID: 0000-0002-1377-1539
- 592

3 Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, Yale University, New Haven, USA ORCID:
 0000-0003-4766-021X

595

596 **Contents**:

- 597 Pages S1 S15
- 598 Table S1
- 599 Figures S1 S3
- 600

2 Table S1. Descriptive Statistics

Characteristic	amphibia: species, N = 503 ¹	aves: species, N = 7,717 ¹	fungi: family, N = 1,374 ¹	fungi: species, N = 1,341 ¹	insecta: family, N = 4,473 ¹	insecta: species, N = 4,432 [↑]	mammalia: species, N = 1,943 ¹	plantae: species, N = 5,774 ¹	reptilia: species, N = 861 ¹
Sampling Density (log)	0.55 (-0.16, 1.23)	4.38 (3.16, 5.49)	1.04 (0.31, 1.87)	1.05 (0.31, 1.88)	1.93 (1.12, 2.86)	1.93 (1.12, 2.88)	1.06 (0.36, 1.83)	2.26 (1.40, 3.31)	1.06 (0.26, 1.85)
Estimated Completeness	56 (40, 69)	58 (38, 74)	39 (28, 49)	29 (18, 40)	36 (24, 48)	23 (15, 35)	48 (40, 65)	22 (13, 39)	62 (42, 76)
Unknown	360	1,327	842	910	1,945	2,407	1,054	2,930	460
Expected Richness (log)	1.70 (1.26, 2.12)	4.44 (4.06, 4.81)	2.88 (2.13, 3.49)	3.47 (2.63, 4.19)	3.64 (3.06, 4.09)	4.47 (3.78, 5.07)	2.01 (1.60, 2.53)	4.72 (4.04, 5.32)	1.90 (1.33, 2.26)
Unknown	360	1,327	842	910	1,945	2,407	1,054	2,930	460
HOLC Grade									
A	95 (19%)	929 (12%)	214 (16%)	211 (16%)	555 (12%)	552 (12%)	274 (14%)	726 (13%)	132 (15%)
В	140 (28%)	2,009 (26%)	397 (29%)	383 (29%)	1,208 (27%)	1,198 (27%)	578 (30%)	1,530 (26%)	244 (28%)
С	177 (35%)	3,010 (39%)	524 (38%)	514 (38%)	1,796 (40%)	1,782 (40%)	761 (39%)	2,325 (40%)	305 (35%)
D	91 (18%)	1,769 (23%)	239 (17%)	233 (17%)	914 (20%)	900 (20%)	330 (17%)	1,193 (21%)	180 (21%)
Population / km^2	647 (313, 1,259)	1,324 (659, 2,694)	852 (410, 1,650)	845 (408, 1,636)	1,012 (513, 1,991)	1,009 (513, 1,978)	855 (438, 1,667)	1,137 (578, 2,283)	798 (389, 1,578)
NDVI (mean)	0.43 (0.35, 0.50)	0.41 (0.32, 0.48)	0.40 (0.29, 0.49)	0.40 (0.29, 0.49)	0.40 (0.30, 0.47)	0.39 (0.30, 0.47)	0.38 (0.28, 0.47)	0.40 (0.31, 0.48)	0.37 (0.28, 0.46)
Protected Open, Accessible Space (%)	2.5 (0.9, 7.3)	1.5 (0.1, 4.4)	2.6 (0.9, 6.4)	2.6 (0.9, 6.4)	1.9 (0.5, 5.0)	1.9 (0.5, 5.0)	2.4 (0.8, 5.6)	1.8 (0.4, 4.8)	1.7 (0.4, 4.8)
Water (%)	0.19 (0.00, 0.97)	0.00 (0.00, 0.67)	0.02 (0.00, 0.67)	0.02 (0.00, 0.67)	0.00 (0.00, 0.62)	0.00 (0.00, 0.62)	0.01 (0.00, 0.69)	0.00 (0.00, 0.65)	0.09 (0.00, 0.69)
¹ Median (IQR); n (%)									





Figure S1. Sampling Density (the number of volunteered-collected observations per area) vary
 by Home Owners Loan Corporation neighborhoods, with areas formerly A-Graded having more
 biodiversity information than areas formerly D-Graded for all taxon except for fungi at both
 species and family levels. Sampling density in HOLC polygons, was greater than their

609 encompassing Census-defined Urban Areas (UA) and Metropolitan Statistical Areas (MSA).



HOLC Grade 🖨 A 🖨 B 🖨 C 🖨 D 🖨 UA 🗰 MSA

Figure S2. When sampling density is used to estimate percent completeness, few statistically significant differences emerged. A-Graded areas have more complete biodiversity data than D-Graded areas for birds (aves), but the association is reversed for insects (at species and family levels) and for plants. The percent completeness is relatively low overall, and especially for insects, fungus, and plants. Despite fewer observations per area for sampling density, the percent completeness is greater in Urban Areas and Metropolitan Statistical Areas than HOLC polygons, owing to their larger size.



Figure S3. Only Aves and Plantae expected richness vary by HOLC grade, the other taxon are 621 invariant to the neighborhood classification system.

622 # end April 22, 2024